

Pembroke Pines Elementary School


Address: 6700 SW 9 STREET, PEMBROKE PINES 33023
 Location Num: 1221
 Board District: 1
 Board Member: Ann Murray
 ADEFP Budget: \$5,418,000
 Total Facilities Budget (Sum of Projects): \$5,184,000

PRIMARY RENOVATIONS P.001864 SMART Program Renovations
CURRENT PHASE
ACTIVE CONSTRUCTION
RISK LEVEL

2020 RESET SCHEDULE

(CALENDAR YEAR)

PROJECT PLANNING

Q4 2016 - Q4 2016

HIRE DESIGNER

Q4 2016 - Q2 2017

PROJECT DESIGN

Q3 2017 - Q1 2019

HIRE CONTRACTOR

Q2 2018 - Q4 2019

ACTIVE CONSTRUCTION

Q4 2019 - Q1 2023

CONSTRUCTION CLOSEOUT

Q1 2023 - Q1 2023

PROJECT UPDATE

The Contractor has concluded with the demo and temp of Section C, D & E. Contractor working now on Demo of Sections of A&B and overflow drains for this sections. The pumps have been installed and inspected in the Mechanical Room. The reinforcement for replacing RTU#13 has concluded and inspection has been passed. The curb for RTU#13 has being installed and inspection is pending.

PROJECT SCOPE

Reroofing for Buildings 01, 02, & 05
 HVAC: Replace RTU 04, 05, 06, 10, 13, 14, 17, & 18, AHU replace in rooms 157, 159, 168, 173, 174, & 180, Replace Pumps P1-1, P1-2, Media Center Improvements: Furniture and Flooring Replacement

BUDGET

	Current Budget	Actuals	Remaining Budget
Design	\$288,000	\$262,812	\$25,188
Construction	\$3,702,477	\$360,382	\$3,342,095
FF&E and Technology	\$72,930	\$56,330	\$16,600
Direct Purchase	\$272,578	\$121,439	\$151,139
Construction Mgmt	\$545,350	\$456,345	\$89,005
Contingency	\$190,165		\$190,165
Consultants	\$12,500	\$1,821	\$10,679
Project Total:	\$5,084,000	\$1,259,130	\$3,824,870

SCHOOL CHOICE ENHANCEMENT (SCEP)
CURRENT PHASE
COMPLETE
DELIVERED

Water fountains & Primary playground equipment

BUDGET

\$100,000

MUSIC

COMPLETE
SCOPE

260 Instruments Delivered

TECHNOLOGY

COMPLETE
SCOPE

153 Items Delivered

FLAG:
TRAFFIC LIGHT INDICATOR OF VARIOUS RISK LEVELS

HIGH:

Further analysis should be performed, if this risk still shows unacceptable or medium risk redesign and or other changes should be introduced to reduce the criticality.

MEDIUM:

The risk may be acceptable but redesign or other changes should be considered if reasonably practical.

LOW:

The risk is low and further risk reducing measures are not necessary.